EXHIBIT 3

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 2 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
                                   )
                 Plaintiff,
6
                                 )
7
                                   ) Case No.
             vs.
8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; ) 3:17-cv-000939-WHA
9
     OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING, )
10
     INC.,
11
                  Defendants. )
12
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
15
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KRISTINN GUDJONSSON
16
                   Palo Alto, California
17
                   Friday, July 28, 2017
18
                          Volume I
19
20
    Reported by:
21
    CARLA SOARES
22
    CSR No. 5908
23
    JOB No. 2665814
24
    PAGES 1 - 242
25
                                                Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 3 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	11:24:34
2	Q Let me rephrase the question.	
3	The purpose of your meeting was to clarify	
4	the nature of this investigation request?	
5	A Yes.	11:24:42
6	Q Did you discuss any investigation other	
7	than a review of those two laptops?	
8	A At that meeting, no.	
9	Q Did you discuss any review of	
10	Mr. Levandowski's e-mail?	11:24:57
11	A Sorry. Can you repeat?	
12	Q Did you discuss any review of	
13	Mr. Levandowski's e-mail?	
14	A No, not at that meeting.	
15	Q Did Mr. Levandowski have a separate	11:25:05
16	computer workstation?	
17	A He had two laptops and a workstation, yes.	
18	Q Okay. Did you discuss any review of his	
19	workstation?	
20	A I don't remember if that was discussed in	11:25:18
21	the first meeting or subsequent.	
22	Q In this meeting, did you discuss why an	
23	investigation was being conducted of	
24	Mr. Levandowski?	
25	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no.	11:25:46
		Page 54

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 4 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11:25:48
2	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	
3	Q And what's your understanding as to why	
4	the investigation was being conducted?	
5	A Sorry. Can you repeat? Sorry. I didn't	11:25:55
6	hear everything.	
7	Q Sure. I'm happy to restate that.	
8	In that meeting, what was your	
9	understanding of why the investigation was being	
10	conducted regarding Mr. Levandowski?	11:26:08
11	MR. BAKER: And you can answer that	
12	generally from the technical standpoint, Kris.	
13	THE WITNESS: They had reasonable	
14	suspicion that he might have removed intellectual	
15	property from the grounds.	11:26:24
16	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	
17	Q What was the basis for that reasonable	
18	suspicion?	
19	A I do not know.	
20	Q Did you ask?	11:26:35
21	A I don't remember.	
22	Q Did Mr. Brown ask?	
23	A I don't remember.	
24	Q Did you discuss a time frame for your	
25	investigation?	11:26:51
		Page 55

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 5 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Time frame of when we were supposed to do	11:26:54
2	it or time frame of	
3	Q Let me clarify that.	
4	Did you discuss a time frame of the	
5	information that you were going to review as part of	11:27:02
6	your investigation?	
7	A As in how far back in time or	
8	Q Yes.	
9	A In that initial meeting?	
10	Q Yes.	11:27:14
11	A I'm not sure.	
12	Q Did you discuss a time frame for your work	
13	in that meeting?	
14	A Yes. Probably. That would be a typical	
15	thing we would ask.	11:27:27
16	Q And what time frame did you agree on?	
17	A I don't remember.	
18	Q Do you remember approximately?	
19	A At least a few months. Couple of months.	
20	Three months maybe. I'm not completely sure what we	11:27:44
21	discussed what we agreed upon in the meeting, but	
22	that is fairly generic, going back two, three	
23	months.	
24	Q So to the best of your recollection, you	
25	would get back to them in about three months?	11:27:58
		Page 56

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 6 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Oh, when I would get back to them?	11:27:59
2	Q Yes.	
3	A No, that would be just as soon as	
4	possible.	
5	Q Okay. So what does the three months refer	11:28:04
6	to?	
7	A I'm sorry. I thought you were talking	
8	about how far back I should be looking at his	
9	activity on the machine.	
10	Q Okay. So let me go back then.	11:28:12
11	So to the best of your recollection, what	
12	you had agreed on is that you would look at the	
13	machine and go back about three months?	
14	A Two, three months, probably. Yeah.	
15	Q	
19	Q And then did you discuss how soon you	
20	would revert to Ms. Bailey with more information?	11:28:36
21	A As quickly as possible.	
22	Q When did the meeting take place?	
23	A I'm sorry. Did you say "when" or "where"?	
24	Q When.	
25	A I'm not completely sure. A guess would be	11:28:52
		Page 57

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 7 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	end of January, beginning of February. Not sure.	11:28:59
2	Q Was that meeting in person?	
3	A Me and Gary were in person, and then they	
4	were over VC, over videoconference.	
5	Q Where is Ms. Bailey located?	11:29:18
6	A I think she is in the Google X building.	
7	Q I'm sorry. You said that some lawyer was	
8	involved. Do you recall which lawyer?	
9	A No, I don't. Sorry. I'm terrible with	
10	names.	11:29:36
11	Q But is it fair to say it was an in-house	
12	Google lawyer?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Okay. And I believe you said earlier that	
15	they had provided some clarification. Is that	11:30:06
16	Ms. Bailey or the attorney or both?	
17	A Probably both, but I don't recall.	
18	Q What did you do after the meeting in terms	
19	of the investigation?	
20	A I started a tracking doc.	11:30:34
21	Q Did you say "a tracking doc"?	
22	A I create a what we call	
24	and a subsequent tracking doc.	
25	Q Okay. And what information did you put in	11:30:53
		Page 58

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2096-4 Filed 10/25/17 Page 8 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3	certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7	prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that
8	a record of the proceedings was made by me using
9	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
10	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is
11	a true record of the testimony given.
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15	of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.
16	I further certify I am neither financially
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18	of any attorney or any party to this action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20	subscribed my name.
21	Dated: 7/29/2017
22	
23	Cara Soares
24	CARLA SOARES
25	CSR No. 5908
	Page 242